This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

D	Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items check	ked:
	☐ BLACK BORDERS	•
	☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES	
	☐ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING	
	☐ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING	
	☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES	
	☐ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS	
	☐ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS	
	☐ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT	
	☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY	
	OTHER:	<u>rannono maraya ya </u>

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO		
09/938,751 08/24/2001		Armin Amrhein	A34487 071308.0210	4100	
7590 08/25/2004			EXAMINER		
Andreas Grub	ert		BAHTA, KIDEST		
Baker Botts L.L.P.					
One shell Plaza			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
910 Louisiana Street			2125		
Houston, TX	77002-4995		DATE MAILED: 08/25/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Applicati		Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/938,7	51	AMRHEIN ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examine		Art Unit			
	T. MAIL WO DATE 641:	Kidest B		2125			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commur or Reply	nication appears on th	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failure - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come period for reply specified above is less than thirty (c) period for reply is specified above, the maximum si re to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evalunication. 30) days, a reply within the statatutory period will apply and very will, by statute, cause the ap	vent, however, may a reply be tim tutory minimum of thirty (30) days vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>07 July 2004</u> .					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action is i	non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition	for allowance excep	t for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is			
	closed in accordance with the pract	ice under <i>Ex parte Q</i>	uayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)🖂	Claim(s) 4-15 is/are pending in the	application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a	re withdrawn from co	onsideration.				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) <u>4-15</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
اــا(٥	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or election i	equirement.				
Applicati	on Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	: a)☐ accepted or b	\square objected to by the E	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
11/	the oath of declaration is objected to	o by the Examiner. N	ote the attached Office	Action of form PTO-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority	documents have bee	en received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority		· ·				
	 Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation 	•		d in this National Stage			
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action		· • •	d			
	oo ino anaonoa aoianoa omioo aoia		mod dopied net rederve	u.			
Attachmen	He)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Fination Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date	•	Paper No(s)/Mail Da				

Application/Control Number: 09/938,751

Art Unit: 2125

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 4-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hoenninger et al. (U.S. Patent 6,260,058) in view of Fletcher et al. (U.S. Patent 5,012,409).

Regarding claims 4, 8 and 15 Hoenninger discloses providing the industrial controller (10) with a runtime system (23), said controller having prioritized running levels, wherein a first level having a first priority executes a plurality of tasks in parallel; providing a plurality of first instructions for said tasks being executed by the industrial controller in the first running level (Fig. 6, column 6, lines 11-25; column 9, lines 1-19);

Hoenninger fails to discloses that providing at least a second instruction for said tasks that enables a waiting for condition to be satisfied, wherein said second instruction causes the industrial controller to execute said second instruction and following instruction in a second running level having a second priority being higher than the first priority if the condition is met; providing at least a third instruction for said tasks which causes the industrial controller to execute the following instructions in said first running level; programming a plurality of tasks to be executed in parallel including sequences of said first, second and third instructions; the industrial controller executes a plurality of

Application/Control Number: 09/938,751

Art Unit: 2125

background tasks wherein each background task can be assigned to a different prioritized running level.

Fletcher discloses providing at least a second instruction for said tasks that enables a waiting for condition to be satisfied, wherein said second instruction causes the industrial controller to execute said second instruction and following instruction in a second running level having a second priority being higher than the first priority if the condition is met (column 3, lines 1-12; column 4, lines 50-60; Fig. 4); providing at least a third instruction for said tasks which causes the industrial controller to execute the following instructions in said first running level (column 4, lines 3-6); programming a plurality of tasks to be executed in parallel including sequences of said first, second and third instructions (column 3, lines 54-62); the industrial controller executes a plurality of background tasks wherein each background task can be assigned to a different prioritized running level (column 2, lines 17-40).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to modify the teachings of Hoenninger with the teachings of Fletcher in order to provides a multi-tasking environment with eight level of priority, instant response to time critical events.

Regarding claims 5 and 9, Hoenninger discloses the running levels are created from the group consisting of system levels (priority level), user levels, and system levels and user levels (Fig. 6, element 35-38)

Regarding claims 6 and 10, Hoenninger discloses the running level model is clocked and wherein the basic clock is derived from any of an internal timer, an internal

clock of a communication medium, an external device or a variable which belongs to the technological process (Fig. 1, element 23).

Regarding claims 7 and 11, Hoenninger discloses the running levels are selected from the group consisting of an assigned system, user program, and an assigned system and user program (column 8, lines 45-48, i.e., program code in entered in ROM...).

Regarding claims 12-14, Hoenninger discloses the condition to be satisfied is the edge change within a signal and determination of a predefined actual value and the actual value is a position or sensor value (Fig. 1, elements 15 and 16).

Conclusion

- 3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 4. Any inquiry concerning communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Kidest Bahta, whose telephone number is (703) 308-6103. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 7:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. EST. If attempts to reach the examiner by phone fail, the examiner's supervisor, Leo Picard, can be reached (703) 308-0538. Additionally, the fax phone for Art Unit 2125 is (703) 872-9306. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the group receptionist at (703) 305-9600.

Kidest Bahta

August 21, 2004